



Robert Greene Sterne Edward J. Kessler Jorge A. Goldstein David K.S. Cornwell Robert W. Esmond Tracy-Gene G. Durkin Michael B. Ray Robert E. Sokohl Eric K. Steffe Michael Q. Lee Steven R. Ludwig John M. Covert Linda E. Alcorn Robert C. Millonig Donald J. Featherstone Timothy J. Shea, Jr Michael V. Messinger Judith U. Kim

Patrick E. Garrett
Jeffrey T. Helvey
Heidi L. Kraus
Eldora L. Ellison
Thomas C. Fiala
Albert L. Ferro*
Donald R. Banowit
Peter A. Jackman
Teresa U. Medler
Jeffrey S. Weaver
Kendrick P. Patterson
Vincent L. Capuano
Brian J. Del Buono
Virgil Lee Beaston
Theodore A. Wood
Elizabeth J. Haanes
Joseph S. Ostroff
Frank R. Cottingham
Christine M. E. Dujier
June 13, 2005

Rae Lynn P. Guest George S. Bardmesser Daniel A. Klein Jason D. Eisenberg Michael D. Specht Andrea J. Kamage Trao E. Wright LuAnne M. DeSantis Ann E. Summerfield Aric W. Ledford Helene C. Carlson Cynthia M. Bouchez Timothy A. Doyle Gaby L. Longsworth Lori A. Gordon Nicole D. Dretar Ted J. Ebersole Laura A. Vogel Michael J. Mancuso Bryan S. Wade Aaron L. Schwartz Michael G. Pent Shannon A. Carroll* Wesley W. Jones* Matthew E. Kelley* Nicole R. Kramer*

Registered Patent Agents
Karen R. Markowicz
Nancy J. Leith
Matthew J. Dowd
Katrina Yujian Pei Quach
Bryan L. Skelton
Robert A. Schwartzman
Teresa A. Colella
Jeffrey S. Lundgren
Victoria S. Rutherford

Attn: Mail Stop Amendment

Michelle K. Holoubek Simon J. Elliott Julie A. Heider Mita Mukherjee Scott M. Woodhouse Christopher J. Walsh Liliana Di Nola-Baron Peter A. Socarras Jeffrey Mills

Of Counsel Kenneth C. Bass III Evan R. Smith Marvin C. Guthrie

*Admitted only in Maryland

*Admitted only in Virginia

Practice Limited to
Federal Agencies

WRITER'S DIRECT NUMBER: (202) 772-8645 INTERNET ADDRESS: JASONE@SKGF.COM

Art Unit 2851

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Re:

U.S. Utility Patent Application

Application No. 10/807,268; Filed: March 24, 2004

For: Beam-Splitter Optics Design That Maintains an Unflipped (Unmirrored)

Image For a Catadioptric Lithographic System

Inventor:

Harry SEWELL

Our Ref:

1857.0790001

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for appropriate action are the following documents:

- 1. Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement;
- 2. Form PTO-1449 (1 sheet) listing the cited documents;
- 3. Copies of the one (1) cited documents (AR1); and
- 4. Return postcard.

It is respectfully requested that the attached postcard be stamped with the date of filing of these documents, and that it be returned to our courier. In the event that extensions of time are necessary to prevent abandonment of this patent application, then such extensions of time are hereby petitioned.

The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is hereby authorized to charge any fee deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to our Deposit Account No. 19-0036.

Respectfully submitted,

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.

Jason D. Eisenberg
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 43,447

JDE/lvt

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Confirmation No.: 4101

Harry SEWELL

Art Unit: 2851

Appl. No.: 10/807,268

Filed: March 24, 2004

Examiner: Mathews, Alan A. Atty. Docket: 1857.0790001

For: Beam-Splitter Optics Design That

Maintains an Unflipped (Unmirrored) Image For a

Catadioptric Lithographic System

Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement

Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Listed on accompanying Form PTO-1449 are documents that may be considered material to the examination of this application, in compliance with the duty of disclosure requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.56, 1.97 and 1.98.

Applicant has listed publication dates on the attached PTO-1449 based on information presently available to the undersigned. However, the listed publication dates should not be construed as an admission that the information was actually published on the date indicated.

Applicant reserves the right to establish the patentability of the claimed invention over any of the information provided herewith, and/or to prove that this information may not be prior art, and/or to prove that this information may not be enabling for the teachings purportedly offered.

1

This statement should not be construed as a representation that a search has been made, or that information more material to the examination of the present patent application does not exist. The Examiner is specifically requested not to rely solely on the material submitted herewith.

Applicant has checked the appropriate boxes below.

- ☐ 1. Statement under 37 C.F.R. 1.704(d). Each item of information contained in this
 Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign
 patent office in a counterpart application and this communication was not
 received by any individual designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c) more than thirty
 days prior to the filing of this information disclosure statement.
- 2. Filing under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(b). This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed within three months of the date of filing of a national application other than a continued prosecution application (CPA), OR within three months of the date of entry of the national stage as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.491 in an international application, OR before the mailing date of a first Office Action on the merits OR before the mailing of a first Office Action after the filing of a request for continued examination under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114. No statement or fee is required.

	⊠ a.	Statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(1). I hereby state that each					
		item of information contained in this Information Disclosure					
		Statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign					
		patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than					
		three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure					
		Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(1).					
	□ b.	Statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(2). I hereby state that no item					
		of information in this Information Disclosure Statement was cited					
		in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart					
		foreign application and, to my knowledge after making reasonable					
		inquiry, was known to any individual designated in 37 C.F.R.					
		§ 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this					
		Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(2).					
	□ c.	Attached is our PTO-2038 Credit Card Payment Form in the					
		amount of \$ in payment of the fee under 37 C.F.R. §					
		1.17(p).					
4.	Filing under	37 C.F.R. § 1.97(d) This Information Disclosure Statement is being					
	filed more than three months after the U.S. filing date and after the mailing da						
	of a Final Rejection or Notice of Allowance, but before payment of the Issue Fe						
	Enclosed find our PTO-2038 Credit Card Payment Form in the amount of						
	\$ i	in payment of the fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p); in addition:					

- a. Statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(1). I hereby state that each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(1).
- b. Statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(2). I hereby state that no item of information in this Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and, to my knowledge after making reasonable inquiry, was known to any individual designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(2).
- ∑ 5. Documents AA1 and AB1 were cited in a Written Opinion, dated April 8, 2005,
 by a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application. A copy of the
 Written Opinion is enclosed as document AR1.
- 6. A concise explanation of the relevance of the non-English language document(s) appears below in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(a)(3).
- ∑ 7. A copy of document AR1 is enclosed. However, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §
 1.98(a)(2), no copies of U.S. patents and patent application publications cited on
 the attached Form PTO-1449 are enclosed.

☐ 8.	Copies of the documents were cited by or submitted to the Office in an IDS that								
	complies with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(a)-(c) in Application No, filed								
	, which is relied upon for an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C.								
	§ 120. Thus, copies of these documents are not attached. 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(d).								

∑ 9. It is expected that the examiner will review the prosecution and cited art in the parent application no. 10/307,407, filed December 2, 2002 (now U.S. Pat. 6,731,374), in accordance with MPEP 2001.06(b), and indicate in the next communication from the office that the art cited in the earlier prosecution history has been reviewed in connection with the present application.

It is respectfully requested that the Examiner initial and return a copy of the enclosed Form PTO-1449, and indicate in the official file wrapper of this patent application that the documents have been considered.

The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is hereby authorized to charge any fee deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to our Deposit Account No. 19-0036.

Respectfully submitted,

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.

Jason D. Eisenberg
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 43,447

Date:

6/13/05

1100 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-3934 (202) 371-2600 408458_1.DOC

			- VC.	LATTY DOOUGTNO		ADDUGATION	Page 1 of 1			
FORM PTO-1449 3 2005				ATTY. DOCKET NO. APPLICATION 1857.0790001 10/807,268			J.			
SUPPLEMENTAL JUM 13 ENTER INFORMATION DISCLOSURE TATEMENT AT TRADELING				INVENTOR						
				FILING DATE	Harry SEWELL FILING DATE ART UNIT					
				March 24, 2004		2851				
EXAMINER	DOC.			TENT DOCUMENTS	T	T	T			
INITIAL	REF.	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUB-CLASS	FILING DATE			
	AA1	5,636,066	06/1997	Takahashi	_					
•	AB1	2002/0167734 A1	11/2002	Schuster						
	AC1									
•	AD1									
	AE1									
	AF1									
	AG1									
	AH1									
	Al1		:							
	AJ1									
	AK1									
EXAMPLE D	1.500		FOREIGN F	PATENT DOCUMENTS			T			
EXAMINER INITIAL	DOC. REF.	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUB-CLASS	TRANSLATION Yes			
	AL1						No Yes			
	AM1			1			No Yes			
	AN1						No Yes			
	AO1						No			
	AP1						Yes No			
EXAMINER	DOC.	OTHER DOCUMENT	S (Includin	g Author, Title, Date, Pe	rtinent P	ages, etc.)				
INITIAL	REF.			CITATION						
	AR1 Written Opinion for Singapore Patent Appl. No. 200306876-4, 10 pages, dated April 8, 2005.									
	AS1			•						
	AT1									
EXAMINER					1	TE CONSIDERED				
EXAMINER: Init and not consider	ial if refere	ence considered, whether o	r not citation is	in conformance with MPEP 609 ion to Applicant.	Draw line	through citation if no	ot in conformance			
408452_1.DOC										